



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/974,773	10/09/2001	Toshihiko Kano	9319S-000280	9319S-000280 6904	
27572	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			BAHTA, KIDEST		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2125		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/974,773	KANO ET AL.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kidest Bahta	2125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment which a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen							
10. Other:	John						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed August 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues, regarding the independent claims 1, 15, 17 and 23, Trefethan does not show the frequency control unit; however, examiner disagrees because Trefethan disclsoes the frequencycontrol unit (column 4, lines 29-45 and element 42) and also applicant admites that element 42 shows that "the program's network is used to program the incorporated on oscillator frequency control unit". In addition, applicant argues Trefethan not specifically teach the control data writing apparatus in direct communication with a frequency control unit of the oscillator. Examiner disagrees because non of the independet claims disclsoe "control data writing apparatus in direct communication with a frequency control unit of the oscillator". However, Trefethan disclsoes control data writing apparatus (column 3, lines 44-45; column 4, lines 60-64; programming data enter through program terminal 22). Furthermore, the newly added limitations Trefethan disclsoes the control data writing apparatus (22) which comprises a power system (142), a data control system (116), and ferquency measuring (column 8, lines 7-27).